INDUSTRY CONSULTING AGREEMENT REVIEW

Instructions

- 1. USC's Relationship with Industry Policy (RWI Policy) requires that USC Healthcare Professionals (HCP) submit consulting agreements for review and approval prior to initiating consulting services with a company defined in the policy as "Industry". This review is not a legal review. The University does not provide legal advice for outside consulting agreements. This is a review to determine if the consulting services with Industry (a) comply with USC's RWI Policy and (b) do not constitute a conflict of interest or commitment as described in the USC Conflict of Interest and Ethics Policy.
- 2. Only "USC Approvers" as defined in the RWI Policy may conduct this review.
- 3. To assist in determining whether or not a consulting agreement complies with the RWI Policy, answer the questions found below in the Industry Consulting Agreement Checklist, documenting your answers to each. For specific policy guidance, refer to the RWI Policy Section A: Consulting Arrangements and Industry Sponsored Speaking Engagements or contact the Office of Compliance. Additionally, consider the following AAMC questions:
 - Does the arrangement have the potential to enhance the health of the public?
 - Does the arrangement serve the academic mission?
 - Does the arrangement support academic standards of medical professionalism?
- 4. At the time of your review, to assist in determining whether the total consulting activity of the HCP constitutes a conflict of commitment, request the HCP to provide an updated Summary of Outside Consulting form, Appendix A
- 5. At the conclusion of your review, retain a copy of the consulting agreement, a copy of this review document and a copy of the updated *Summary of Outside Consulting Form*.

Consulting Agreement Submitted By:	
Name of Company Requesting Consulting Se	rvices:
Document Date:	
The Chair's signature below represents that tattached consulting arrangement:	the Chair has reviewed and approved the
Chair	

Revised: 12-14-2010

INDUSTRY CONSULTING AGREEMENT CHECKLIST

Condition	Yes	No	Notes/Recommendations
Does the agreement explain in reasonable detail the			
consulting services to be provided by the USC HCP?			
Note : Do the requested services appear necessary?			
Legitimate?			
Are the consulting services scientific in nature? Note:			
If yes, the engagement should not originate from the			
marketing department.			
Is compensation offered at Fair Market Value and below			
\$500/per hour?			
Note: It is not acceptable for a USC HCP to accept a			
fixed daily rate. Compensation must equate to an hourly			
rate for services rendered. Do not approve this			
arrangement if an hourly fair market value assessment			
cannot be made.			
Is the term of the agreement limited to one year?			
Is there any language that implies an agreement to			
purchase or prescribe the company's products or offer a			
"quid pro quo"?			
Note: Do not approve if yes			
Is it clear that these services will be provided when the			
USC HCP is not engaged in university activity?			
Example: Surgeons may not be paid for consulting			
services when they are at the same time being paid for			
patient care services.			
Taking into consideration all other approved consulting			
arrangements for this USC HCP, can the services			
requested in this arrangement be provided compliant			
with the USC Faculty Handbook and the USC Conflicts			
of Interest in Ethics Policy and Procedure?			
Is the consulting arrangement related in any way to a			
company where the USC HCP is also involved in			
sponsored research?			
Note: If yes, has a Statement of Outside Interests been			
submitted as per the USC Conflict of Interest in			
Research Policy?			

Revised: 12-14-2010

Condition	Yes	No	Notes/Recommendations
Regarding Industry Sponsored Non-CE Speaking Arrangements: • Are there any provisions which would not allow the USC HCP to comply with Standards of Commercial Support as per the guidelines of ACCME, ACPE or ADE, whichever is applicable?			
 Does the arrangement in any way interfere with the USC HCP's ability to be in control of the content of their presentation? Note: The Relationships with Industry Policy, <i>strongly discourages</i> participation in paid promotional frequent speaking arrangements. If approval for this type of speaking is given, document in NOTES the compelling circumstances for this approval. 			

GENERAL COMMENTS

If approved:

What is the total time commitment anticipated under this consu	llting
arrangement:	
What is the total amount of money projected to be earned under	r this

Revised: 12-14-2010